

## Molecular Phylogeny of Acipenseridae: Nonmonophyly of Scaphirhynchinae

VADIM J. BIRSTEIN, P. DOUKAKIS, AND R. DESALLE

A phylogenetic hypothesis is presented illustrating relationships among 23 extant sturgeon species based on nucleotide data from five mitochondrial genes (cytochrome *b*, NADH5, control region, 16S, and 12S). The tree supports our previous hypotheses regarding relationships among species of *Acipenser* and *Huso*. The introduction of *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* to the analysis does not change these main relationships. The genera *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* do not form a monophyletic group corresponding to the subfamily Scaphirhynchinae or the tribe Scaphirhynchini. The genus *Scaphirhynchus* may be basal within the family Acipenseridae, whereas *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* appears to be embedded within *Acipenser* clustering with the stellate sturgeon, *Acipenser stellatus*. The morphological similarity between *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* could be a result of convergent evolution of different ancestral sturgeon forms in similar environmental conditions of distant river systems of North America and Central Asia.

ACCORDING to traditional classification, the Order Acipenseriformes (sturgeons and paddlefishes) consists of two families, the Acipenseridae, with genera *Acipenser*, *Huso*, *Scaphirhynchus*, and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*, and the Polyodontidae, represented by two extant species, *Polyodon spathula* and *Psephurus gladius* (Berg, 1904, 1940). Recent studies confirm that the two families are monophyletic, sister taxa (Grande and Bemis, 1991, 1996; Bemis et al., 1997; but see Gardiner, 1984). Two subfamilies are further recognized within Acipenseridae: the Acipenserinae consisting of *Acipenser* and *Huso* and the Scaphirhynchinae, which includes *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* (Berg, 1911, 1940). Currently, the Scaphirhynchinae is regarded as monophyletic (Mayden and Kuhajda, 1996) with some authors suggesting the group is more appropriately recognized as the tribe Scaphirhynchini within the subfamily Acipenserinae (Grande and Bemis, 1996; Bemis et al., 1997; Findeis, 1997). The Scaphirhynchinae is generally believed to be the sister group of all other sturgeons (Berg, 1911; Mayden and Kuhajda, 1996) and the most basal lineage within the Acipenseridae (Bogdanov, 1882:106–110; Zograf, 1887; Schmalhausen, 1991). Other authors, however, suggest the group may be derived (Kessler, 1877; Berg, 1905; Findeis, 1993, 1997).

Two species of *Scaphirhynchus* and three species of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* were originally described in the 19th century, with the two genera placed in the separate subfamily Scaphirhynchinae at the turn of the 20th century. The first species of Scaphirhynchinae was described by C. Rafinesque in his monograph on fishes of the

Ohio River (Rafinesque, 1820). This “shovelfish (currently shovelnose) sturgeon *Accipenser platorynchus*” was later placed in a new separate genus *Scaphirhynchus* as *Scaphirhynchus platorynchus* (Heckel, 1835). The pallid sturgeon, *Parascaphirhynchus albus*, was subsequently described from the same river system (Forbes and Richardson, 1905) and eventually recognized as *Scaphirhynchus albus* (Bailey and Cross, 1954). The third species, the Alabama sturgeon *Scaphirhynchus suttkusi*, was discovered in the Mobile River system and described much later (Williams and Clemmer, 1991).

The first description of a species of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*, *Scaphirhynchus fedtschenkoi*, was based on a specimen caught in 1871 in the Syr Darya River in Central Asia (former Turkestan, currently Kazakhstan; Kessler, 1872). This specimen was originally defined as a member of the genus *Scaphirhynchus* because of its morphological similarity (e.g. shovel-shaped head and long caudal rod) to published drawings of *S. platorynchus* (Kessler, 1872, 1873). *Scaphirhynchus hermanni* and *Scaphirhynchus kaufmanni* were later described based on specimens caught in the Amu Darya River in Central Asia (former Turkestan, currently Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan; Bogdanov, 1874; Kessler, 1877). The three species were elevated to a separate genus *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* by Nikolsky (1900). The confirmation of the species of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* and the unification of the subfamily Scaphirhynchinae was later accomplished by the Russian ichthyologist Berg (1904, 1905, 1911).

In their review of the subfamily Scaphirhynchinae, Bailey and Cross (1954:172) wrote “. . . we were uncertain whether the two genera

TABLE 1. PRIMERS AND CONDITIONS USED FOR PCR AMPLIFICATION OF GENE REGIONS. Forward primers are given first followed by reverse primers. All sequences are listed in 5' to 3' direction.

Gene region <sup>a</sup>	Primer sequence	Primer name/reference	
18S <sup>b</sup>	TACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGTAG	1F/Giribet et al., 1996	
	AGGGTCCCTCTCCGGAATCGAAC	3R/Giribet et al., 1996	
	CCAGCAGCCGCGCTAATTC	4F/Giribet et al., 1996	
	CTTGGCAAATGCTTTTCGC	5R/Giribet et al., 1996	
	GCAATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCC	7F/Giribet et al., 1996	
	GATCCTTCCGCGAGTTACACCTAC	9R/Giribet et al., 1996	
	12S	GGTGGCATTATTTATTTATTAGAGG	12Sa/Kocher et al., 1989
		CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT	12Sb/Hedges et al., 1993
	16S	CGCCTGTTTACCAAAACAT	16Sa/Palumbi et al., 1991
CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT		16Sb/Palumbi et al., 1991	
Control region <sup>c</sup>	TAACTGCAGAAGGCTAGGACCAAACCT	mod H00651/Kocher et al., 1989	
	GCACCCAAAGCTGARRTTCTA	dIp 1.5/Baker et al., 1993	
	CAGTCTGATTTTGGGGTTTGAC	HF400/P. Doukakis, unpubl.	
	TGTCAAACCCCAAAGCAGG	HB400/P. Doukakis, unpubl.	
	TTCTYGGCATGTGGGGWCAT	HF100/P. Doukakis, unpubl.	
NADH5 <sup>d</sup>	AATAGTTTATCCAGTTGGTCTTAG	ND5/Bembo et al., 1995	
	TAACAACGGTGGTTTTCAAGTCA	ND5/6a/Nielsen et al., 1998	
	AAGCCCATGAGTGGTAGG	RND5-3/Doukakis et al., 1999	
Cyt <i>b</i>	CCATCCAACATCTCTGCTTGATGAAA	B1/J. Groth, pers. comm.	
	CCTCCAATTCATGTGAGTACT	S2A/Birstein et al., 1997	

<sup>a</sup> Conditions for PCR amplification were 18S: 94° 0:15, 55° 0:15, 72° 0:15, 30 cycles; 12S and 16S: 94° 1:00, 55° 1:00, 72° 1:30, 33 cycles; control region and cyt *b*: 94° 1:00, 46° 1:00, 72° 1:50, 33 cycles; NADH5: 94° 1:00, 48° 1:00, 72° 1:30, 33 cycles.

<sup>b</sup> 18S primers are listed sequentially as pairs for amplification purposes with forward (F) and reverse (R) primers.

<sup>c</sup> The modified H00651 and dIp 1.5 were used to amplify the entire control region. HF400, HB400, HF100 are internal sequencing primers.

<sup>d</sup> ND5 and ND5/6a are forward primers while RND5-3 is the reverse primer.

of 'shovelnose' sturgeons (*Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*) owed their close resemblance to common ancestry or to convergence. . . . It is premature to deny categorically that the striking agreement in several features may be due to parallel modifications in animals that inhabit the channels of large silty rivers." The Mississippi-Missouri and Amu Darya/Syr Darya rivers inhabited by *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*, respectively, have strong currents and extremely muddy and silty water (Nikolsky, 1938; Hurley et al., 1987; Burke and Ramsey, 1995). These conditions differ considerably from the clear waters inhabited by *Acipenser* and *Huso* (Bemis and Kynard, 1997). Our preliminary molecular studies including exemplars from the two subfamilies found *Scaphirhynchinae* to be paraphyletic, with *S. albus* occupying a basal position sister to *P. kaufmanni*, which was sister to *Acipenser* plus *Huso* (Birstein et al., 1997). Further molecular studies examining all extant species of *Acipenser* used only *S. albus* as a representative of the *Scaphirhynchinae* and did not consider any species of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* (Birstein and DeSalle, 1998). Here, we use an additional DNA sequence analysis and further taxon sampling to address the monophyly of the *Scaphirhynchinae* and *Acipenserinae* subfamilies and provide clarification

for species designations and relationships within the *Acipenseriformes*. We further assess our results in light of available morphological character information and historic biogeography. We studied only two of three species of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*: currently, small populations of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus hermanni* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus kaufmanni* still survive in the Turkmenian part of the Amu Darya River (Salnikov et al., 1996), whereas *P. fedtschenkoi* is practically extinct (Birstein, 1997).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

*DNA extraction and sequencing.*—DNA was extracted from all tissue specimens (see Materials Examined) using standard phenol chloroform techniques (see DeSalle et al., 1993) after overnight Proteinase K incubation. Generally, only one individual per species was considered. Five mitochondrial gene regions [12S, 16S, NADH5, control region, cytochrome *b* (cyt *b*)] and several regions within the nuclear 18S region were examined using the primers and conditions listed in Table 1. Low variability was found for 18S fragments between representative sequences of *A. baerii*, *S. albus*, *P. kaufmanni*, and *Polyodon spatulata*; hence, this region was not sequenced for all taxa nor included in the analysis. Sequenced

regions for all taxa consisted of 337 bp 12S, 523 bp 16S, 643 bp NADH5, 846 bp control region, and 526 bp *cyt b*. The *cyt b* gene sequences consisted of the three noncontiguous fragments as detailed in Birstein and DeSalle (1998). In some cases, sequences available through GenBank were used for the *cyt b* gene region (see Appendix 1).

All PCR amplification was conducted in a Perkin-Elmer 480 thermocycler (PE Biosystems, Foster City, CA; except for 18S amplifications using a PE 9600). PCR products were purified with the BIO 101 Gene Clean system (BIO 101, Inc., La Jolla, CA) before use in sequencing reactions. Automated sequencing employed fluorescent FS or BigDye sequencing chemistry and either an ABI 373 or 377 automated sequencer (PE Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequences were transferred to Sequencher 3.0 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI) for initial trimming and editing. All sequences were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers listed in Appendix 1. To verify our sequencing results on *P. kaufmanni*, we sent tissue samples to A. Ludwig (Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Berlin, Germany). He independently sequenced the *cyt b* gene and control region and confirmed our results for this species.

**Analysis.**—No alignment program was needed for the protein coding *cyt b* and NADH 5 gene regions. Both gene regions were translated into amino acid code to ensure no stop codons were present. Alignment of the 12S and 16S regions was trivial with few indels and therefore objectively accomplished by eye. Although an 846 bp fragment of the control region was amplified, alignment was performed after exclusion of the heteroplasmic region (see Buroker et al., 1990). Alignment was accomplished using MALIGN Version 2.7 (Wheeler and Gladstein, 1994) employing gap:change costs of 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 under the “build” algorithm. The five alignment matrices were then compared and alignment ambiguous regions were culled (as in Gatesy et al., 1993). This ultimately reduced the control region matrix to the 410 bp fragments.

All tree-building analyses were conducted using PAUP (vers. 4.0b2 D. L. Swofford, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, 1999, unpubl.) under the optimality criterion of maximum parsimony (MP) and maximum likelihood (ML) as a means of dataset exploration. We used *Polyodon spathula* and *Psephurus gladius* as outgroups. As all of the genes included are mitochondrial and, therefore, function as a single inherited linkage group, a combined evidence

approach was used in analyzing all gene regions simultaneously (Nixon and Carpenter, 1995). A combined evidence approach (molecules plus morphology) was also adopted for assessing the species relationships among the subset of species included in Mayden and Kuhajda (1996).

For the MP analyses, bootstrap support values were obtained using random searches and 1000 replicates. Gaps were coded both as characters and as missing in separate analyses. Bremer support values (Bremer, 1988, 1994) were calculated using AutoDecay (vers. 4.0, T. Eriksson, 1998, program distributed by the author, Department of Botany, Stockholm Univ. Press, Stockholm, Sweden). The Incongruence Length Difference (ILD; Mickevich and Farris, 1981; Farris et al., 1995) between data partitions was calculated by hand and tests of the ILD significance between major data partitions (i.e., ribosomal vs coding; coding vs noncoding; molecules vs morphology) used the Partition Homogeneity option in PAUP 4.0b2. These tree searches used a simple addition search to generate starting trees. To look at the contribution of molecular and morphological data to the total Bremer support value on the combined evidence tree, Partition Bremer Support (PBS) values were calculated using the methods outlined in Baker et al. (1998).

The use of ML required the specification of the explicit assumptions. The program ModelTest Version 2.0 (Posada and Crandall, 1998) was used to test the fit of several sequence evolution models on the given data using a likelihood ratio test framework. The ModelTest programs examined the fit of each model independently as well as with the addition of either a gamma distribution shape parameter (G), a proportion of invariable sites parameter (I) or both (G+I).

The difference between tree topologies was tested for significance using the Templeton (Templeton, 1983) and Kishino-Hasegawa (Kishino and Hasegawa, 1989) tests. These tests were used to compare the MP and ML to each other as well as to the shortest parsimony trees obtained under the constraint of Scaphirhynchinae monophyly.

## RESULTS

After culling the alignment ambiguous sites of the control region, the total evidence data matrix consisted of 5 gene regions totaling 2439 bp for each of 25 taxa. The information content contained within the dataset under the parsimony criteria is shown in Table 2 and indicates the NADH5, control region, and *cyt b* were, overall, the most parsimony informative gene regions. Parsimony analysis using equal weight-

TABLE 2. INFORMATION CONTENT OF THE PRESENT DATASET UNDER THE PARSIMONY CRITERIA.

Subset	# Chars	# Var (%)	# Inf (%)	# Trees	Steps
16S	527	95 (18.0)	45 (8.5)	55	157
12S	337	12 (3.6)	8 (2.4)	12	17
NADH5	643	211 (32.8)	147 (22.9)	50	376
Cyt <i>b</i>	526	169 (32.1)	116 (22.1)	8	389
Control region	410	231 (56.3)	154 (37.6)	3	550
Morphology	36	36 (100)	32 (89.0)	3	62
Total (morph)	2439	692 (28.4)	423 (17.3)	3	1337
Total	2439	718 (29.4)	470 (19.3)	9	1573
Total (morph + mol)	2475	728 (29.4)	455 (18.4)	1	1419

ing produced nine trees of the same topology regardless of the treatment of gaps (1573 steps, CI: 0.545, RI: 0.630). The strict consensus parsimony tree is shown in Figure 1A. Bootstrap values differed only slightly when gaps were coded as characters versus missing, whereas Bremer support values did not differ between the two coding schemes (Fig. 1A). Combined analysis of molecules and morphology produced a single tree (1419 steps, CI: 0.617, RI: 0.627) topologically similar to the MP tree but not to the strict consensus of the three trees obtained using only morphology (62 steps, CI: 0.855, RI: 0.909; Fig. 2). Significant incongruence was observed between all major data partitions (16S plus 12S vs NADH5 plus control region plus cyt *b*:  $P = 0.001$ ; coding vs noncoding  $P = 0.01$ ; molecules vs morphology  $P = 0.001$ ). PBS values for the molecular and morphological comparison indicated conflict at only two of the 15 nodes, with some nodes only supported by morphology (no. 14) or molecules (nos. 1, 2, 6, 8, 10; Table 3).

ModelTest results indicated the HKY85 (Hasegawa et al., 1985) + gamma + invariant sites

approach ( $ti/tv = 5.4073$ ,  $p_{inv} = 0.5374$ ,  $\gamma = 0.774$ ) was the most appropriate model for the dataset. The ML search using this model yielded a tree with score  $-\ln L$  10539.26 and a topology shown in Figure 1B. The ML and any of the nine MP topologies (ML: length 1586, CI 0.541, RI 0.623; MP:  $-\ln L$  10567.94, 1573 steps, CI: 0.545, RI: 0.630) were not significantly different under the Templeton test ( $P = 0.01$ ) or KH test ( $P = 0.01$ ). All of these trees were significantly different from the three trees obtained in searches constrained for Scaphirhynchinae monophyly ( $-\ln L$  10604.24, 1608 steps, CI: 0.534, RI: 0.611;  $P < 0.001$ ).

## DISCUSSION

Our new MP tree (Fig. 1A) supports the relationships between the species of *Acipenser* and




Fig. 1. Maximum parsimony strict consensus (A) and maximum likelihood (B) tree topologies. For A, bootstrap and Bremer support values are shown above and below the nodes, respectively. Bootstrap values that differed with the coding of gaps as characters are shown in parentheses.




Fig. 2. Combined evidence (A) and morphology alone (B) maximum parsimony trees using data from Maiden and Kuhajda (1996). Bootstrap and Bremer support values are shown above and below the nodes, respectively. Numbers encircled refer to nodes numbers referenced in Table 3.

TABLE 3. PARTITIONED BREMER SUPPORT FOR MOLECULAR AND MORPHOLOGICAL DATA. (\*) indicates PBS conflict between the molecular and morphology data.

Node	Molecules	Morphology	Total BS
1	10	0	10
2	2	0	2
3	37.5	-9.5	28*
4	13	13	26
5	2.3	0.7	3
6	11	0	11
7	18	-5	13*
8	4	0	4
9	8	2	10
10	7	0	7
11	4	2	6
12	1	2	3
13	74	9	85
14	0	1	1
15	51	1	52

*Huso* discussed in Birstein and DeSalle (1998). The introduction of additional species of *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* does not change these basic relationships. Although the new MP and ML tree topologies are not significantly different (Fig. 1), they differ in their respective degrees of resolution and in the placement of certain taxa. Only the ML tree offers resolution for the placement of *A. fulvescens*, *A. nudiventris*, the *A. stellatus*/*P. kaufmanni*/*P. hermanni* clade and the *A. ruthenus*/*H. huso*/*H. dauricus* clade (Fig. 1B). Conflict between the ML and MP topologies is apparent in relationships among *A. transmontanus*, *A. medirostris*, *A. sinensis*, and *A. schrenckii* and the placement of the *Scaphirhynchus* node.

Although the MP and ML methods are known to conflict in cases of "long-branch attraction" (Felsenstein, 1981; Siddall, 1998; Siddall and Whiting, 1999), we do not feel this situation applies to the current dataset. If long-branch attractions were involved, our MP tree (Fig. 1A) would have firmly placed the long branches together with accompanying large support values. Although the branches leading to *Scaphirhynchus*, *A. oxyrinchus*, *A. sinensis* and the outgroups are the longest in the dataset (data not shown), using the methods of Siddall (1995), we did not find evidence that the placement of *Scaphirhynchus* in the MP tree is dictated by the presence of long branches.

The nonmonophyly of the subfamily Scaphirhynchinae (*Pseudoscaphirhynchus* + *Scaphirhynchus*) is congruent between the two trees (Fig. 1). The two species of the *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* group are within *Acipenser* and are closely relat-

ed to the stellate sturgeon *A. stellatus*, whereas the three *Scaphirhynchus* species form a separate monophyletic clade, which may (MP) or may not (ML) be basal to the Acipenseridae. A comparison of the ML and MP trees with the most parsimonious topology constraining Scaphirhynchinae monophyly indicates significant difference. Furthermore, no synapomorphic molecular characters could be identified to unite the subfamily Scaphirhynchinae.

Although the species of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* and *Scaphirhynchus* share overall morphological similarity (Bailey and Cross, 1954; Nelson, 1994) the two genera are apparently united by only a few robust synapomorphic characters. The commonly cited synapomorphy of the Scaphirhynchinae associated with the absence of spiracles in adults (Bailey and Cross, 1954; Nelson, 1994), was recently shown to be ontogenetic in *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*. In *P. kaufmanni*, like in the species of *Acipenser*, spiracles appear during the early development (in larvae), but unlike in *Acipenser*, they close later and they are absent in adults (Schmalhausen, 1991; Dettlaff et al., 1993:181). Characters identified by Findeis (1997) require further examination as only two specimens of the dwarf form of *P. kaufmanni* (for discussion of the normal and dwarf morphs within *P. kaufmanni*, see Sagitov, 1969) and no *P. hermanni* or *P. fedtschenkoi* were compared with the species of *Scaphirhynchus*. Mayden and Kuhajda (1996) identified five of 36 characters as synapomorphies for the Scaphirhynchinae, one of which was the presence or absence of the spiracle in adults. Further review of the existing morphological descriptions and studies of individual taxa suggests these genera differ greatly (Berg, 1948; Bailey and Cross, 1954; Bemis et al., 1997).

The tree topology produced when molecular and morphological data (i.e., Mayden and Kuhajda, 1996) are combined also does not support the monophyly of the Scaphirhynchinae. The two data partitions were statistically significantly incongruent using the incongruence length difference test (Farris et al., 1995) and harbored conflict with respect to the PBS (Baker et al., 1998) at two nodes (Table 3, Fig. 2A). Not surprisingly, these two nodes are associated with the grouping of *Huso* and *A. ruthenus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* and *A. stellatus* (Fig. 2A). We recognize that combining molecular and morphological data is often criticized because of the potential for "swamping" of morphological information with molecular (Hillis, 1987; Patterson et al., 1993; but see Baker et al., 1998) and agree that this is the most likely explanation for the pattern observed here.

Fossil information combined with the geological history of the current habitats of *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* add additional uncertainty to the monophyletic origin of the Scaphirhynchinae. Acipenseriforms likely originated in the Tethys Basin in the Jurassic, diversifying first in Europe and Asia and then later in North America (see Birstein and DeSalle, 1998). The ancestral form of *Scaphirhynchus* (i.e., †*Protoscaphirhynchus*) was found in Montana (Wilimovsky, 1956), in the same range as the fossil and recent polyodontids (McAlpin, 1947), supporting the scenario that *Scaphirhynchus* emerged from this ancestral freshwater lineage. No fossils can be directly linked to the Central Asian *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*, which presently inhabits the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Rivers. The Tethys Sea covered these river systems in the Upper Cretaceous and until approximately 15mybp (Smith et al., 1994; Veevers, 1994). Therefore, if the *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* emerged from a freshwater *Scaphirhynchus*-like ancestral form, that form must have survived in present day Central Asia in the Tethys and then Paratethys seas (see Hsü and Giovanoli, 1979/1980). The genus *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* could have evolved in this area from an Acipenserinae ancestor after the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Rivers entered into the Paratethys Sea or the Aral or Caspian Sea had been established, about 5.5 mybp to 0.02 mybp (see Birstein and DeSalle, 1998).

Our study offers little resolution regarding the species status and relationships among species of *Scaphirhynchus* and *Pseudoscaphirhynchus*. Individual species within both genera can be differentiated based upon morphological and life history characters (Tleuov and Sagitov, 1973; Keenlyne et al., 1994; Mayden and Kuhajda, 1996). The species of *Scaphirhynchus*, however, exhibit little genetic differentiation (review in Wirgin et al., 1997; Bischof and Szalanski, 2000; Campion et al., 2000). Our raw molecular data indicate very few differences among available species (for species divergence values, see Appendices 2–4). We stress that larger sample sizes of all species must be examined before concluding that these few differences observed uphold species designations.

The monophyly of the genus *Acipenser* is also not supported by our analysis as no synapomorphic molecular characters unite all *Acipenser*. Findeis (1997) came to a similar conclusion, finding no osteological characters uniting the genus, whereas Mayden and Kuhajda (1996) identified only one character defining the genus. Within *Acipenser*, our results support several previously identified relationships (Birstein and

DeSalle, 1998; Choudhury and Dick, 1998; Ludwig et al., 2000) but contradict assumptions that North American and Eurasian taxa form separate monophyletic groups (Brown et al., 1996; Krieger et al., 1996) and that phylogenetic groupings and relationships directly correspond with biogeographic information (Artyukhin, 1995). Sturgeon species from the Eurasian and American coasts of the Atlantic and Pacific oceans clearly have trans-Atlantic and trans-Pacific relationships, respectively (Figs. 1–2).

Molecular information apparently conflicts with available morphological matrices for sturgeons, particularly with respect to the monophyly of the Scaphirhynchinae. Although we recognize that phylogenetic hypotheses presented here require additional character information for improved resolution, our data are robust in testing this hypothesis and in suggesting morphological convergence. When nuclear markers are developed to accommodate the high ploidy levels characterizing sturgeons, and a comprehensive morphological matrix is constructed, a more fully resolved and well-supported analysis can be accomplished.

#### MATERIALS EXAMINED

We used the same tissue samples as in our previous papers (Birstein et al., 1997; Birstein and DeSalle, 1998; Doukakis et al., 1999), and additional samples from *P. hermanni*, *P. kaufmanni*, and *A. baerii baicalensis* collected in 1996–1997. Most samples were pieces of muscle tissue taken from fish and preserved in 80% EtOH; for *A. nudiventris* and *A. ruthenus*, blood samples were preserved in 100 mM Tris, 100 mM EDTA, and 2% SDS buffer and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Fish specimens of *A. baerii baicalensis* were collected by G. Ruban at the Konakovo Hatchery (Russia; an artificially maintained stock); of *A. brevirostrum*, by B. Kynard in the Connecticut River (MA); of *A. fulvescens*, by F. Binkowski in the Great Lakes (WI); of *A. gueldenstaedtii* and *A. stellatus*, by R. Suciú in the Danube River (Romania); of *A. medirostris* and *A. transmontanus*, by J. North in the Columbia River (OR); of *A. mikadoi*, by Ye. Artyukhin in the Tumnin River (Russian Far East); of *A. naccarii*, by P. Bronzi in the Po River basin (Italy; aquaculture); of *A. nudiventris* (from the Aral Sea, Uzbekistan) and *A. ruthenus* (from the Volga River, Russia), by V. Birstein at the Moscow Aquarium; of *A. oxyrinchus desotoi*, by J. Waldman in the Pearl River (MS); of *A. persicus*, by M. Pourkazemi in the Southern part of the Caspian Sea (Iran); of *A. schrenkii* and *H. dauricus*, by V. Svirskii in the Amur River (Siberia, Russia); of *A. sinensis* and

*Psephurus gladius*, by Q. Wei in the Yangtze River (China); of *A. sturio*, by P. Williot in the Gironde River (France); of *H. huso*, by T. Gulyas in the Romanian part of the Black Sea; of *P. hermanni* and *P. kaufmanni*, by V. Salnikov in the Amu Darya River (Turkmenistan); of *S. albus* and *S. platyrhynchus*, by H. Bollig in the Yellowstone River (MT); of *S. suttkusi* (specimen 11158.01 at the University of Alabama Ichthyological Collection), by R. Mayden in the Alabama River (AL); of *Polyodon spathula*, by S. Mims in the Cumberland River (KY). All tissue samples and voucher information are kept in the collection of Sturgeon Conservation International, New York and requests for tissues or other voucher information should be directed to the first author of this paper.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to all colleagues from different countries mentioned in Materials Examined who kindly provided us with tissue samples from different sturgeon species. We are also thankful to A. Ludwig for independent sequencing of the *cyt b* gene and control region of *P. kaufmanni* and for confirming our results.

## LITERATURE CITED

- ARTYUKHIN, E. N. 1995. On biogeography and relationships within the genus *Acipenser*. *Sturgeon Q.* 3: 6–8.
- BAILEY, R. M., AND F. B. CROSS. 1954. River sturgeon of the American genus *Scaphirhynchus*: characters, distribution and synonymy. *Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci., Arts Lett.* 39:169–208.
- BAKER, C. S., A. PERRY, J. L. BANNISTER, M. T. WEINRICH, R. B. ABERNATY, J. CALAMBOKIDIS, J. LEIN, R. H. LAMBERSTEN, AND J. RAMIREZ. 1993. Abundant mitochondrial DNA variation and world-wide population structure in humpback whales. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 90:8239–8243.
- BAKER, R., X. YU, AND R. DESALLE. 1998. Assessing the relative contribution of molecular and morphological characters in simultaneous analysis tree. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 9:427–436.
- BEMBO, D. G., G. R. CARVALHO, M. SNOW, N. CINGOLANI, AND T. J. PITCHER. 1995. Stock discrimination among European anchovies, *Engraulis encrasicolus*, by means of PCR-amplified mitochondrial DNA analysis. *Fish. Bull.* 94:31–40.
- BEMIS W. E., AND B. KYNARD. 1997. Sturgeon rivers: an introduction to acipenseriform biogeography and life history, p. 167–183. *In: Sturgeon biodiversity and conservation.* V. J. Birstein, J. R. Waldman, and W. E. Bemis (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- , E. FINDEIS, AND L. GRANDE. 1997. An overview of Acipenseriformes, p. 25–71. *In: Sturgeon biodiversity and conservation.* V. J. Birstein, J. R. Waldman, and W. E. Bemis (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- BERG, L. S. 1904. Zur Systematic der Acipenseriden. *Zool. Anz.* 27:665–667.
- . 1905. Fishes of Turkestan. Scientific results of the Aral expedition, No. 6. Isidor Goldberg, St. Petersburg, Russia (in Russian).
- . 1911. Fishes (Marsipobranchii and Pisces). Fauna of Russia and adjacent countries. Vol. 1. Acad. Sci., St. Petersburg, Russia (in Russian).
- . 1940. Classification of fishes both recent and fossil. *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta*, 5:85–517 (translated from Russian, 1965).
- . 1948. Freshwater Fishes of the USSR and adjacent countries. Vol. 1. 4th ed. Part 1. Acad. Sci. USSR, Zool. Inst., Moscow-Leningrad (translated from Russian, 1962).
- BIRSTEIN, V. J. 1997. Threatened fishes of the world: *Pseudoscaphirhynchus* spp. (Acipenseridae), p. 381–383. *In: Sturgeon biodiversity and conservation.* V. J. Birstein, J. R. Waldman, and W. E. Bemis (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- , AND R. DESALLE. 1998. Molecular phylogeny of Acipenserinae. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 9:141–155.
- , R. HANNER, AND R. DESALLE. 1997. Phylogeny of the Acipenseriformes: cytogenetic and molecular approaches, p. 127–155. *In: Sturgeon biodiversity and conservation.* V. J. Birstein, J. R. Waldman, and W. E. Bemis (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- BISCHOF, R., AND A. L. SZALANSKI. 2000. Mitochondrial-DNA variation in pallid and shovelnose sturgeons, *Scaphirhynchus* (Pisces: Acipenseridae). *Trans. Nebr. Acad. Sci.* 26:19–21.
- BOGDANOV, M. 1874. A report on a newly discovered acipenserid fish at the meeting of Zoological Section. *Trudy Sankt-Petersburgskogo Obshchestva Ispytatelei Prirody* 5:48 (in Russian).
- . 1882. Essays on the nature of the Khiva Oasis and Karakum Desert. Tashkent, Uzbekistan (in Russian).
- BREMER, K. 1988. The limits of amino acid sequence data in angiosperm phylogenetic reconstruction. *Evolution* 42:795–803.
- . 1994. Branch support and tree stability. *Cladistics* 10:295–304.
- BROWN, J. R., K. BECKENBACH, A. T. BECKENBACH, AND M. J. SMITH. 1996. Length variation, heteroplasmy, and sequence divergence in the mitochondrial DNA of four species of sturgeons (*Acipenser*). *Genetics* 142:525–535.
- BURKE, J. S., AND J. S. RAMSEY. 1995. Present and recent historic habitat of the Alabama sturgeon, *Scaphirhynchus suttkusi* Williams and Clemmer, in the Mobile basin. *Bull. Alab. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 17:17–24.
- BUROKER, N. E., J. R. BROWN, T. A. GILBERT, P. J. O'HARA, A. T. BECKENBACH, W. K. THOMAS, AND M. J. SMITH. 1990. Length heteroplasmy of sturgeon mitochondrial DNA: an illegitimate elongation model. *Genetics* 124:157–163.
- CAMPTON, D. E., A. L. BASS, F. A. CHAPMAN, AND B. W. BOWEN. 2000. Genetic distribution of pallid, shovelnose and Alabama sturgeon: emerging species

- and the US Endangered Species Act. *Conserv. Genet.* 1:17–32.
- CHOUHURY, A., AND T. A. DICK. 1998. The historical biogeography of sturgeons (Osteichthyes: Acipenseridae): a synthesis of phylogenetics, paleontology and palaeogeography. *J. Biogeogr.* 25:623–640.
- DESALLE, R., A. K. WILLIAMS, AND M. GEORGE. 1993. Isolation and characterization of animal mitochondrial DNA, p. 176–204. *In: Methods in enzymology*. Vol. 224. Molecular evolution: producing the biochemical data. E. A. Zimmer, T. J. White, R. L. Cann, and A. C. Wilson (eds.). Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- DETLAFF, T. A., A. S. GINSBURG, AND O. I. SCHMALHAUSEN. 1993. Sturgeon fishes: developmental biology and aquaculture. Springer Verlag, New York.
- DOUKAKIS, P., V. J. BIRSTEIN, G. I. RUBAN, AND R. DESALLE. 1999. Molecular genetic analysis among subspecies of two Eurasian sturgeon species, *Acipenser baerii* and *A. stellatus*. *Mol. Ecol.* 8:S117–S127.
- FARRIS, J. S., M. KALLERSJO, A. G. KLUGE, AND C. BULT. 1995. Constructing a significance test for incongruence. *Syst. Biol.* 44:570–572.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1981. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach. *J. Mol. Evol.* 17:368–376.
- FINDEIS, E. K. 1993. Osteology of the North American shovelnose sturgeon *Scaphirhynchus platyrhynchus* Rafinesque 1820 with comparisons to other Acipenseridae and Acipenseriformes. Unpubl. Ph. D. diss., Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- . 1997. Osteology and phylogenetic relationships of recent sturgeons, p. 73–126. *In: Sturgeon biodiversity and conservation*. V. J. Birstein, J. R. Waldman, and W. E. Bemis (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- FORBES, S. A., AND R. E. RICHARDSON. 1905. On a new shovelnose sturgeon from the Mississippi River. *Bull. Ill. State Lab. Nat. Hist.* 7:37–44.
- GARDINER, B. G. 1984. Sturgeons as living fossils, p. 148–152. *In: Living fossils*. N. Eldredge and S. M. Stanley (eds.). Springer Verlag, New York.
- GATESY, J., R. DESALLE, AND W. C. WHEELER. 1993. Alignment ambiguous nucleotide sites and the exclusion of systematic data. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 2: 152–157.
- GIRIBET, G., S. CARRANZA, J. BAGUNA, M. RIUTORT, AND C. RIBERA. 1996. First molecular evidence for the existence of a Tardigrada plus Arthropoda clade. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13:76–84.
- GRANDE, L., AND W. E. BEMIS. 1991. Osteology and phylogenetic relationships of fossil and recent paddlefishes (Polyodontidae) with comments on the interrelationships of Acipenseriformes. *J. Vert. Paleol., Memoir 1, Vol. 11, suppl. 1:i–v, 1–121*.
- , AND ———. 1996. Interrelationships of Acipenseriformes, with comments on “Chondrostei,” p. 85–115. *In: Interrelationships of fishes*. M. L. J. Stainsny, L. R. Parenti, and G. D. Johnson (eds.). Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- HASEGAWA, M., H. KISHINO, AND T.-A. YANO. 1985. Dating the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. *J. Mol. Evol.* 22:160–174.
- HECKEL, J. 1835. *Scaphirhynchus*, eine neue Fischgattung aus der Ordnung der Chondropterygier mit freien Kiemen. *Ann. Wiener. Mus. Naturgesch.* 1: 71–78.
- HEDGES, S. B., R. A. NUSSBAUM, AND L. R. MAXSON. 1993. Caecilian phylogeny and biogeography inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences of the 12S rRNA and 16 S rRNA genes (Amphibia: Gymnophiona). *Herpetol. Monogr.* 7:64–76.
- HILLIS, D. M. 1987. Molecular versus morphological approaches to systematics. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 18: 23–42.
- HSÜ, K. J., AND F. GIOVANNOLI. 1979/1980. Messian event in the Black Sea. *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.* 29:75–93.
- HURLEY, S. T., W. A. HUBERT, AND J. G. NICKUM. 1987. Habitats and movements of shovelnose sturgeons in the upper Mississippi River. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 116:655–662.
- KEENLYNE, K. D., C. J. HENRY, A. TEWS, AND P. CLANCEY. 1994. Morphometric comparisons of the upper Missouri River sturgeons. *Ibid.* 123:779–785.
- KESSLER, K. F. 1872. On a remarkable fish of the family of sturgeons discovered by A. P. Fedtschenko in the Syr Darya River. *Izvestiya Obschestva Lyubitelei Estestvoznaniya, Antropologii i Etnografii* 10:70–76 (in Russian).
- . 1873. On a remarkable fish of the family of sturgeons discovered by M. A. P. Fedtschenko in the River Suir-Dar. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., Ser. 4, 12:269–276*.
- . 1877. Fishes of the Aralo-Caspian-Pontine region. *Trudy Aralo-Kaspiskoi Ekspeditsii* 4:160–196 (in Russian).
- KISHINO, H., AND M. HASEGAWA. 1989. Evaluation of the maximum likelihood estimate of the evolutionary tree topologies from DNA sequence data, and the branching order of the Hominoidea. *J. Mol. Evol.* 29:170–179.
- KOCHER, T. D., W. K. THOMAS, A. MEYER, S. V. EDWARDS, S. PAABO, F. X. VILLABLANCA, AND A. C. WILSON. 1989. Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 86:6196–6200.
- KRIEGER, J., G. C. BOOTON, T. CAVENDER, AND P. FUERST. 1996. Molecular phylogeny of North American acipenseriformes derived from rRNA, p. 95–101. *In: Culture and management of sturgeon and paddlefish. Symposium proceedings*. S. Doroshov, F. Binkowski, T. Thuemler, and D. MacKinlay (eds.). American Fisheries Society, San Francisco, CA.
- LUDWIG, A., B. MAY, L. DEBUS, AND I. JENNECKENS. 2000. Heteroplasmy in the mtDNA control region of sturgeon (*Acipenser*, *Huso*, and *Scaphirhynchus*). *Genetics* 156:1933–1947.
- MAYDEN, R. L., AND B. R. KUHAJDA. 1996. Systematics, taxonomy, and conservation status of the endangered Alabama sturgeon, *Scaphirhynchus suttkusi* Williams and Clemmer (Actinopterygii, Acipenseridae). *Copeia* 1996:241–273.
- MCAPLIN, A. 1947. *Paleopsephurus wilsoni*, a new polyodontid fish from the upper Cretaceous of Mon-



- tana, with a discussion of allied fishes, living and fossil. *Contr. Mus. Paleo., Univ. Mich.* 6:167–234.
- MICKEVICH, M., AND S. FARRIS. 1981. The implications of congruence in *Menidia*. *Syst. Zool.* 30:351–370.
- NELSON, J. S. 1994. *Fishes of the World*. 3d ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- NIELSEN, E. E., M. M. HANSEN, AND K. L. D. MENSBERG. 1998. Improved primer sequences for the mitochondrial ND1, ND3/4 and ND5/6 segments in salmonid fishes: application to RFLP analysis of Atlantic salmon. *J. Fish Biol.* 53:216–220.
- NIKOLSKY, A. M. 1900. *Pseudoscaphirhynchus rossikowi*, n. gen. et. spec. *Ezhegodnik Zoologicheskogo Muzeya Akademii Nauk* 4:257–260 (in Russian and Latin).
- NIKOLSKY, G. V. 1938. *Fishes of Tadzhikistan*. Academy of Science USSR, Moscow-Leningrad (in Russian).
- NIXON, K., AND J. CARPENTER. 1996. On simultaneous analysis. *Cladistics* 12:221–241.
- PATTERSON, C., D. M. WILLIAMS, AND C. T. HUMPHRIES. 1993. Congruence between molecular and morphological phylogenies. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 24:153–188.
- POSADA, D., AND K. A. CRANDALL. 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14:817–818.
- RAFINESQUE, C. 1820. *Ichthyologia Ohiensis: natural history of the fishes inhabiting the River Ohio and its tributary streams*. Arno Press Inc., New York.
- SAGITOV, N. I. 1969. On the dwarf form of the large Amu-Dar shovelnose sturgeon. *Nauchnye Doklady Vyshei Shkoly* 6:12–15 (in Russian).
- SALNIKOV, V. B., V. J. BIRSTEIN, AND R. L. MAYDEN. 1996. The contemporary status of the two Amu Darya River shovelnose sturgeons, *Pseudoscaphirhynchus kaufmanni* and *P. hermanni*. *Sturgeon Q.* 4:10–14.
- SCHMALHAUSEN, O. I. 1991. Prelarval development of *Pseudoscaphirhynchus kaufmanni*. *Ontogenez* 22:493–513 (translated from Russian: *Soviet J. Devel. Biol.* 22:302–315).
- SIDDALL, M. E. 1995. Another monophyly index: Revisiting the jackknife. *Cladistics* 11:33–45.
- . 1998. Success of parsimony in the four-taxon case: long-branch repulsion by likelihood in the Farris zone. *Ibid.* 14:209–220.
- , AND M. F. WHITING. 1999. Long-branch abstractions. *Ibid.* 15:9–24.
- SMITH, A. G., D. G. SMITH, AND B. M. FUNNELL. 1994. *Atlas of Mesozoic and Cenozoic coastlines*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- TEMPLETON, A. R. 1983. Phylogenetic inference from restriction endonuclease cleavage site maps with particular reference to the humans and apes. *Evolution* 37:221–244.
- TLEUOV, R. T., AND N. I. SAGITOV. 1973. *Acipenserid fishes of the Aral Sea*. FAN Press, Tashkent, Uzbekistan (in Russian).
- VEEVERS, J. J. 1994. Pangea: Evolution of a supercontinent and its consequences for Earth's paleoclimate and sedimentary environments. *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.* 288:13–21.
- WHEELER, W. C., AND D. GLADSTEIN. 1994. MALIGN: A multiple sequence alignment program. *J. Hered.* 84:417.
- WILIMOVSKY, N. J. 1956. *Protoscaphirhynchus squamosus*, a new sturgeon from the Upper Cretaceous of Montana. *J. Paleol.* 30:1205–1208.
- WILLIAMS, J. D., AND G. H. CLEMMER. 1991. *Scaphirhynchus sultkusi*, a new sturgeon (Pisces: Acipenseridae) from the Mobile Basin of Alabama and Mississippi. *Bull. Ala. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 10:17–31.
- WIRGIN, I. I., J. E. STABILE, AND J. R. WALDMAN. 1997. Molecular analysis in the conservation of sturgeons and paddlefish, p. 385–398. *In: Sturgeon biodiversity and conservation*. V. J. Birstein, J. R. Waldman, and W. E. Bemis (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- ZOGRAF, N. Y. 1887. Materials to understanding of the organization of the sterlet. *Izvestiya Obshchestva Lyubitelei Estestvoznaniya, Antropologii i Etnografii* 52:1–72 (in Russian).
- (VJB) STURGEON CONSERVATION INTERNATIONAL, 331 WEST 57TH STREET, #159, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019; (PD) DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY, YALE UNIVERSITY, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06511; AND (RD) DEPARTMENT OF ENTOMOLOGY—MOLECULAR LABORATORY, AMERICAN MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY, CENTRAL PARK WEST AT 79TH STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10024. (VJB) birstein@pipeline.com. Send reprint requests to VJB. Submitted: 6 March 2001. Accepted: 22 Oct. 2001. Section editor: J. D. McEachran.

## APPENDIX 1. GENBANK ACCESSION NUMBERS OF SEQUENCES GENERATED AND USED IN THIS STUDY.

Species	GenBank accession numbers				
	Cyt <i>b</i>	Contr. reg.	12S	16S	ND5
<i>A. baerii baicalensis</i>	AF404779, 791, 803	AF402844	AF402882	AF404836	AF238776
<i>A. brevisrostrum</i>	AF006124, 38, 73	AF402848	AF402866	AF404838	AF403083
<i>A. fulvescens</i>	AF006125, 35, 78	AF402847	AF402885	AF004954	AF403082
<i>A. gueldenstaedtii</i>	AF404797, 785, 809	AF402857	AF402895	AF404844	AF238841
<i>A. medirostris</i>	AF006146, 71, X95053	AF402843	AF402881	AF404835	AF403079
<i>A. mikadoi</i>	AF404782, 794, 806	AF402851	AF402889	AF404841	AF403086
<i>A. naccarii</i>	AF404783, 795, 807	AF402852	AF402890	AF404842	AF238810
<i>A. nudiventris</i>	AF006151, 52, 62	AF402853	AF402891	AF004958	AF403087
<i>A. oxyrinchus desotoi</i>	AF006153, 54, 64	AF402856	AF402894	AF004959	AF403090
<i>A. persicus</i>	AF404776, 790, 802	AF402842	AF402880	AF404834	AF238775
<i>A. ruthenus</i>	AF006157, 68, X95055	AF402846	AF402884	AF004962	AF403081
<i>A. schrenckii</i>	AF404781, 793, 805	AF402850	AF402888	AF404840	AF403085
<i>A. sinensis</i>	AF006158, 59, 66	AF402854	AF402892	AF004964	AF403088
<i>A. stellatus</i>	AF404780, 792, 804	AF402845	AF402883	AF404837	AF403080
<i>A. sturio</i>	AF006134, 45, 76	AF402849	AF402887	AF404839	AF403084
<i>A. transmontanus</i>	AF404784, 796, 808	AF402855	AF402893	AF404843	AF403089
<i>H. dauricus</i>	AF006133, 44, 75	AF402858	AF402896	AF004966	AF403091
<i>H. huso</i>	AF404786, 798, 180	AF402859	AF402897	AF404845	AF403092
<i>P. hermanni</i>	AF404787, 799, 811	AF402860	AF402898	AF404846	AF403093
<i>P. kaufmanni</i>	AF404788, 800, 812	AF402861	AF402899	AF404847	AF403094
<i>S. albus</i>	U56983	AF402864	AF402902	AF404850	AF403097
<i>S. platyrinchus</i>	U56988	AF402863	AF402901	AF404849	AF403096
<i>S. suttkusi</i>	AF404789, 801, 813	AF402862	AF402900	AF404848	AF403095
<i>Polyodon spathula</i>	AF006183, 84, X95059	AF402865	AF402903	AF404851	AF403098
<i>Psephurus gladius</i>	AF006130, 67, 88	AF402866	AF402904	AF404852	AF403099

APPENDIX 2. SEQUENCE DIVERGENCE (UNCORRECTED *P*) BETWEEN TAXA. Upper half of matrix is based on the all gene region sequences combined. Lower half of matrix is based on 16S sequences.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1 <i>A. persicus</i>	***	0.05	0.0151	0.0465	0.036	0.0416	0.0269	0.073	0.0533	0.0478	0.005
2 <i>A. medirostris</i>	0.0079	***	0.0557	0.0671	0.0532	0.0635	0.0596	0.0676	0.0273	0.0407	0.0549
3 <i>A. baerii</i>	0.0039	0.0079	***	0.0473	0.0385	0.0428	0.0273	0.0717	0.0541	0.0448	0.0159
4 <i>A. stellatus</i>	0.0178	0.0217	0.0178	***	0.0507	0.0579	0.0537	0.0889	0.0659	0.0478	0.0482
5 <i>A. ruthenus</i>	0.0099	0.0138	0.0099	0.0237	***	0.0563	0.0449	0.0721	0.0545	0.0524	0.0385
6 <i>A. fulvescens</i>	0.0158	0.0197	0.0158	0.0276	0.0217	***	0.0505	0.0786	0.0597	0.0575	0.042
7 <i>A. brevisrostrum</i>	0.0276	0.0316	0.0276	0.0335	0.0335	0.0394	***	0.0756	0.0605	0.0525	0.0277
8 <i>A. sturio</i>	0.0158	0.0158	0.0158	0.0276	0.0217	0.0158	0.0394	***	0.0659	0.0721	0.0729
9 <i>A. schrenckii</i>	0.0039	0.0079	0.0079	0.0217	0.0138	0.0197	0.0316	0.0118	***	0.0419	0.0541
10 <i>A. mikadoi</i>	0.0039	0.0039	0.0039	0.0178	0.0099	0.0158	0.0276	0.0018	0.0039	***	0.0478
11 <i>A. naccarii</i>	0.002	0.0059	0.002	0.0158	0.0079	0.0138	0.0256	0.0138	0.0059	0.002	***
12 <i>A. nudiventris</i>	0.0079	0.0118	0.0079	0.0217	0.0138	0.0197	0.0276	0.0158	0.0118	0.0079	0.0059
13 <i>A. sinensis</i>	0.0138	0.0138	0.0138	0.0197	0.0197	0.0256	0.0335	0.0178	0.0099	0.0099	0.0118
14 <i>A. transmontanus</i>	0.0119	0.0158	0.0158	0.0257	0.0217	0.0277	0.0356	0.0198	0.0079	0.0119	0.0139
15 <i>A. oxyrinchus</i>	0.0394	0.0394	0.0394	0.0473	0.0454	0.0394	0.0592	0.0316	0.0355	0.0355	0.0375
16 <i>A. gueldenstaedtii</i>	0	0.0079	0.0039	0.0178	0.0099	0.0158	0.0277	0.0158	0.0039	0.0039	0.002
17 <i>H. dauricus</i>	0.0079	0.0118	0.0079	0.0178	0.0138	0.0178	0.0276	0.0138	0.0079	0.0079	0.0059
18 <i>H. huso</i>	0.0335	0.0375	0.0335	0.0375	0.0355	0.0434	0.0493	0.0434	0.0375	0.0335	0.0316
19 <i>P. hermanni</i>	0.0099	0.0138	0.0059	0.0158	0.0158	0.0197	0.0335	0.0158	0.0138	0.0099	0.0079
20 <i>P. kaufmanni</i>	0.0099	0.0138	0.0059	0.0158	0.0158	0.0197	0.0335	0.0158	0.0138	0.0099	0.0079
21 <i>S. suttkusi</i>	0.0178	0.0178	0.0138	0.0316	0.0237	0.0296	0.0414	0.0256	0.0178	0.0138	0.0158
22 <i>S. platyrinchus</i>	0.0178	0.0178	0.0138	0.0316	0.0237	0.0296	0.0414	0.0256	0.0178	0.0138	0.0158
23 <i>S. albus</i>	0.0178	0.0178	0.0138	0.0316	0.0237	0.0296	0.0414	0.0256	0.0178	0.0138	0.0158
24 <i>Polyodon spathula</i>	0.0434	0.0454	0.0414	0.0592	0.0513	0.0493	0.0611	0.0533	0.0434	0.0414	0.0434
25 <i>Psephurus gladius</i>	0.0276	0.0256	0.0296	0.0434	0.0355	0.0335	0.0454	0.0335	0.0237	0.0256	0.0276

## APPENDIX 2. Extended.

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
0.0382	0.0679	0.0558	0.0857	0.0063	0.0424	0.0428	0.052	0.0491	0.0731	0.0717	0.0721	0.1069	0.1027
0.0546	0.0508	0.0302	0.0773	0.0528	0.0604	0.0608	0.0713	0.0701	0.0782	0.0771	0.0767	0.0969	0.0965
0.0365	0.0687	0.0582	0.0878	0.0155	0.044	0.0461	0.052	0.0499	0.0743	0.0737	0.0733	0.109	0.1081
0.0495	0.083	0.0704	0.0932	0.0478	0.057	0.0544	0.0264	0.026	0.0756	0.0758	0.075	0.1153	0.1073
0.0415	0.0691	0.0545	0.0865	0.039	0.0339	0.0163	0.0545	0.0524	0.0731	0.0712	0.0716	0.1077	0.106
0.0522	0.071	0.0672	0.0875	0.0412	0.0563	0.0617	0.0647	0.0606	0.0834	0.0823	0.0819	0.1151	0.1084
0.0412	0.0734	0.0621	0.0904	0.0281	0.0504	0.0512	0.0596	0.0579	0.077	0.0759	0.0755	0.1112	0.107
0.076	0.0801	0.0667	0.0471	0.0717	0.0797	0.0759	0.0898	0.0848	0.0857	0.0847	0.0843	0.1007	0.1032
0.0593	0.0483	0.0248	0.0774	0.0537	0.0604	0.0604	0.0705	0.0702	0.0732	0.0726	0.0722	0.099	0.094
0.0504	0.0612	0.0398	0.0853	0.049	0.0583	0.0582	0.0541	0.0516	0.0756	0.0754	0.0746	0.1027	0.0968
0.0399	0.0692	0.0566	0.0857	0.008	0.044	0.0452	0.0536	0.0512	0.0743	0.0737	0.0733	0.1068	0.1052
***	0.0706	0.063	0.0909	0.0386	0.0416	0.0483	0.0542	0.0504	0.0779	0.0768	0.0764	0.1092	0.1087
0.0178	***	0.0524	0.0878	0.0662	0.0747	0.0746	0.0889	0.0865	0.0845	0.0834	0.083	0.1019	0.0969
0.0198	0.0139	***	0.0781	0.0562	0.065	0.0612	0.0721	0.0722	0.0752	0.0742	0.0738	0.0985	0.0968
0.0434	0.0414	0.0435	***	0.0824	0.0912	0.0899	0.0996	0.0959	0.0952	0.0941	0.0937	0.1155	0.1163
0.0079	0.0138	0.0119	0.0395	***	0.0453	0.0457	0.0533	0.0504	0.0744	0.0737	0.0733	0.1056	0.1035
0.0118	0.0138	0.0158	0.0375	0.0079	***	0.0381	0.065	0.0609	0.079	0.0771	0.0776	0.1153	0.1103
0.0375	0.0394	0.0415	0.0611	0.0336	0.0335	***	0.0629	0.0541	0.0764	0.075	0.0754	0.1132	0.1089
0.0099	0.0197	0.0218	0.0434	0.0099	0.0099	0.0355	***	0.0155	0.0807	0.0809	0.0801	0.1141	0.114
0.0099	0.0197	0.0218	0.0434	0.0099	0.0099	0.0355	0	***	0.0765	0.0763	0.0755	0.1154	0.1104
0.0217	0.0237	0.0257	0.0493	0.0178	0.0217	0.0473	0.0197	0.0197	***	0.0025	0.017	0.1135	0.1067
0.0217	0.0237	0.0257	0.0493	0.0178	0.0217	0.0473	0.0197	0.0197	0	***	0.0004	0.1123	0.1073
0.0217	0.0237	0.0257	0.0493	0.0178	0.0217	0.0473	0.0197	0.0197	0	0	***	0.1119	0.1065
0.0493	0.0513	0.0514	0.0671	0.0434	0.0493	0.0671	0.0473	0.0473	0.0513	0.0513	0.0513	***	0.086
0.0335	0.0316	0.0316	0.0513	0.0277	0.0296	0.0533	0.0355	0.0355	0.0394	0.0394	0.0394	0.0394	***

APPENDIX 3. SEQUENCE DIVERGENCE (UNCORRECTED *P*) BETWEEN TAXA. Upper half of matrix is based on 12S sequences. Lower half of matrix is based on NADH5 sequences.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1 <i>A. persicus</i>	***	0.003	0	0.0089	0.0089	0.0121	0.003	0.0148	0.0089	0.0089	0
2 <i>A. medirostris</i>	0.0701	***	0.003	0.0059	0.0059	0.0091	0.0059	0.0119	0.0059	0.0059	0.003
3 <i>A. baerii</i>	0.0156	0.07	***	0.0089	0.0089	0.0121	0.003	0.0148	0.0089	0.0089	0
4 <i>A. stellatus</i>	0.0452	0.086	0.04	***	0.0059	0.0151	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0089
5 <i>A. ruthenus</i>	0.0374	0.067	0.037	0.056	***	0.0151	0.0119	0.0178	0.0119	0.0119	0.0089
6 <i>A. fulvescens</i>	0.0358	0.078	0.036	0.056	0.0482	***	0.0091	0.0091	0.0092	0.0092	0.0121
7 <i>A. brevirostrum</i>	0.0202	0.072	0.02	0.0498	0.0327	0.0404	***	0.0119	0.0059	0.0059	0.003
8 <i>A. sturio</i>	0.0936	0.092	0.1	0.1169	0.0904	0.1075	0.095	***	0.0119	0.0119	0.0148
9 <i>A. schrenckii</i>	0.0624	0.036	0.062	0.0732	0.053	0.067	0.0577	0.0843	***	0	0.0089
10 <i>A. mikadoi</i>	0.0452	0.086	0.04	0	0.056	0.056	0.0498	0.1169	0.0732	***	0.0089
11 <i>A. naccarii</i>	0.0016	0.072	0.017	0.0467	0.0389	0.0373	0.0218	0.095	0.0639	0.0467	***
12 <i>A. nudiventris</i>	0.0327	0.067	0.033	0.0435	0.0358	0.0404	0.0311	0.0982	0.0592	0.0435	0.0342
13 <i>A. sinensis</i>	0.078	0.061	0.078	0.0919	0.0748	0.0919	0.0763	0.0952	0.0499	0.0919	0.0794
14 <i>A. transmontanus</i>	0.0654	0.039	0.065	0.0762	0.056	0.0731	0.0638	0.0842	0.0093	0.0762	0.0669
15 <i>A. oxyrinchus</i>	0.0935	0.089	0.093	0.1042	0.0871	0.1011	0.0949	0.0499	0.0779	0.1042	0.0949
16 <i>A. gueldenstaedtii</i>	0.0016	0.068	0.017	0.0467	0.0389	0.0373	0.0218	0.0919	0.0608	0.0467	0.0031
17 <i>H. dauricus</i>	0.0422	0.078	0.045	0.0546	0.0296	0.0468	0.0405	0.1046	0.0686	0.0546	0.0437
18 <i>H. huso</i>	0.0374	0.067	0.037	0.056	0.0016	0.0482	0.0327	0.0904	0.053	0.056	0.0389
19 <i>P. hermanni</i>	0.0623	0.092	0.058	0.0202	0.0731	0.0669	0.0669	0.1278	0.0795	0.0202	0.0638
20 <i>P. kaufmanni</i>	0.0592	0.09	0.054	0.0171	0.0669	0.0638	0.0638	0.1215	0.0779	0.0171	0.0607
21 <i>S. suttkusi</i>	0.0872	0.092	0.089	0.0824	0.0762	0.0964	0.0778	0.1184	0.0842	0.0824	0.0902
22 <i>S. platorynchy</i>	0.0841	0.089	0.086	0.0824	0.0731	0.0933	0.0747	0.1153	0.081	0.0824	0.0871
23 <i>S. albus</i>	0.0843	0.089	0.086	0.081	0.0732	0.0935	0.0748	0.1155	0.0812	0.081	0.0872
24 <i>Polyodon spathula</i>	0.131	0.115	0.132	0.1323	0.1214	0.137	0.1308	0.1217	0.1091	0.1323	0.1339
25 <i>Psephurus gladius</i>	0.1433	0.14	0.145	0.1353	0.14	0.1524	0.1415	0.1496	0.1262	0.1353	0.1462

## APPENDIX 3. Extended.

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
0.003	0.0059	0.0089	0.0148	0	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.006	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0178	0.0148
0	0.003	0.0059	0.0119	0.003	0.0059	0.0059	0.0059	0.0059	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0148	0.0119
0.003	0.0059	0.0089	0.0148	0	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.006	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0178	0.0148
0.006	0.0089	0.0119	0.0119	0.0089	0.0059	0.0059	0	0	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0148	0.0119
0.006	0.0089	0.0119	0.0178	0.0089	0	0	0.0059	0.006	0.0148	0.0148	0.0148	0.0208	0.0178
0.0092	0.0061	0.0092	0.0152	0.0121	0.0151	0.0151	0.0151	0.0151	0.0122	0.0122	0.0122	0.0121	0.0152
0.006	0.003	0.0059	0.0119	0.003	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.009	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0148	0.0119
0.012	0.0089	0.0119	0.0119	0.0148	0.0178	0.0178	0.0119	0.0119	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0119
0.006	0.003	0	0.0119	0.0089	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.0089	0.0059
0.006	0.003	0	0.0119	0.0089	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.0089	0.0059
0.003	0.0059	0.0089	0.0148	0	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.006	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0178	0.0148
***	0.003	0.006	0.012	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.015	0.012
0.0763	***	0.003	0.0089	0.0059	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0059	0.0059	0.0059	0.0119	0.0089
0.0622	0.053	***	0.0119	0.0089	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.0119	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.0089	0.0059
0.098	0.0934	0.0778	***	0.0148	0.0178	0.0178	0.0119	0.0019	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0119
0.0342	0.0763	0.0638	0.0918	***	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.006	0.0119	0.0119	0.0199	0.0178	0.0148
0.0343	0.0873	0.0732	0.1013	0.0437	***	0	0.0059	0.006	0.0148	0.0148	0.0148	0.0208	0.0178
0.0358	0.0748	0.056	0.0871	0.0389	0.0296	***	0.0059	0.006	0.0148	0.0148	0.0148	0.0208	0.0178
0.0575	0.0981	0.0824	0.1151	0.0607	0.0717	0.0731	***	0	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0148	0.0119
0.0544	0.0966	0.0809	0.1089	0.0575	0.0655	0.0669	0.0124	***	0.0089	0.0089	0.0089	0.0149	0.0119
0.084	0.1028	0.0871	0.1089	0.0871	0.081	0.0762	0.0933	0.0871	***	0	0	0.0059	0.003
0.0809	0.0997	0.084	0.1058	0.084	0.0779	0.0731	0.0933	0.0871	0.0031	***	0	0.0059	0.003
0.081	0.0999	0.0841	0.1059	0.0841	0.078	0.0732	0.0919	0.0857	0.0016	0	***	0.0059	0.003
0.1308	0.1216	0.1152	0.1308	0.1308	0.1325	0.1214	0.142	0.137	0.1292	0.1261	0.1264	***	0.0089
0.1462	0.1309	0.1337	0.1555	0.1431	0.1448	0.14	0.148	0.143	0.1322	0.1322	0.1308	0.0872	***

APPENDIX 4. SEQUENCE DIVERGENCE (UNCORRECTED  $P$ ) BETWEEN TAXA. Upper half of matrix is based on cytochrome  $b$  sequences. Lower half of matrix is based on control region sequences.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1 <i>A. persicus</i>	***	0.0627	0.0114	0.0513	0.0456	0.0456	0.0342	0.0894	0.0589	0.0646	0.0057
2 <i>A. medirostris</i>	0.1184	***	0.0703	0.0703	0.0627	0.0437	0.0722	0.0817	0.0266	0.0589	0.0684
3 <i>A. baerii</i>	0.0481	0.1235	***	0.0551	0.0418	0.0532	0.0304	0.0932	0.0665	0.0646	0.0171
4 <i>A. stellatus</i>	0.1151	0.1475	0.1227	***	0.057	0.0627	0.0665	0.0951	0.0665	0.0913	0.057
5 <i>A. ruthenus</i>	0.0801	0.1129	0.1013	0.1093	***	0.0532	0.057	0.0875	0.0627	0.0722	0.0513
6 <i>A. fulvescens</i>	0.1072	0.175	0.1043	0.1336	0.1578	***	0.0551	0.0722	0.0361	0.0532	0.0513
7 <i>A. brevirostrum</i>	0.0485	0.1087	0.0566	0.1077	0.0943	0.113	***	0.0817	0.0722	0.0627	0.0399
8 <i>A. sturio</i>	0.1448	0.1267	0.1204	0.1847	0.1364	0.1851	0.1408	***	0.0856	0.0837	0.0951
9 <i>A. schrenckii</i>	0.1372	0.0594	0.1261	0.1612	0.1394	0.1802	0.1385	0.129	***	0.0627	0.0646
10 <i>A. mikadoi</i>	0.1232	0.0189	0.1123	0.1416	0.1123	0.1662	0.1192	0.1153	0.0484	***	0.0665
11 <i>A. naccarii</i>	0.0187	0.1208	0.0453	0.1173	0.088	0.1016	0.0458	0.1364	0.1287	0.1203	***
12 <i>A. nudiventris</i>	0.1157	0.1266	0.1098	0.1179	0.0938	0.1425	0.1026	0.1614	0.1513	0.1236	0.1181
13 <i>A. sinensis</i>	0.1663	0.1103	0.1659	0.2113	0.1685	0.1984	0.167	0.1744	0.1235	0.1124	0.1658
14 <i>A. transmontanus</i>	0.123	0.043	0.1227	0.1547	0.1147	0.1765	0.1295	0.1177	0.0535	0.0481	0.12
15 <i>A. oxyrinchus</i>	0.1632	0.1392	0.1713	0.1818	0.1762	0.1927	0.1651	0.1062	0.158	0.1493	0.1601
16 <i>A. gueldenstaedtii</i>	0.0321	0.1127	0.0427	0.12	0.096	0.0963	0.0483	0.1445	0.1369	0.123	0.0293
17 <i>H. dauricus</i>	0.0858	0.1238	0.0962	0.1256	0.0696	0.1477	0.0947	0.1529	0.1452	0.1312	0.0882
18 <i>H. huso</i>	0.0801	0.1128	0.1067	0.104	0.0107	0.1577	0.0969	0.1364	0.1394	0.1123	0.088
19 <i>P. hermanni</i>	0.1204	0.1583	0.1333	0.0587	0.128	0.1471	0.116	0.182	0.1692	0.1523	0.1253
20 <i>P. kaufmanni</i>	0.1105	0.1538	0.1237	0.0566	0.1072	0.1319	0.1137	0.1721	0.1648	0.1478	0.1155
21 <i>S. suttkusi</i>	0.1669	0.1912	0.169	0.177	0.1662	0.2015	0.1765	0.1749	0.1835	0.18	0.1636
22 <i>S. platorynchy</i>	0.1632	0.1929	0.1707	0.1787	0.1627	0.2032	0.1781	0.1767	0.1852	0.1818	0.1653
23 <i>S. albus</i>	0.1659	0.1902	0.168	0.176	0.1653	0.2005	0.1754	0.174	0.1824	0.1791	0.1627
24 <i>Polyodon spathula</i>	0.2005	0.1801	0.2027	0.2373	0.2187	0.2434	0.205	0.1738	0.1929	0.1738	0.1947
25 <i>Psephurus gladius</i>	0.2059	0.1852	0.224	0.2321	0.208	0.2542	0.2076	0.19	0.2063	0.1898	0.208

## APPENDIX 4. Extended.

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
0.0418	0.0779	0.0684	0.1122	0.0038	0.0665	0.0532	0.0592	0.0589	0.0824	0.0817	0.0817	0.1294	0.1087
0.0646	0.0627	0.0399	0.0989	0.0665	0.076	0.0741	0.082	0.0817	0.0843	0.0817	0.0817	0.1181	0.103
0.038	0.0817	0.076	0.116	0.0152	0.0627	0.0494	0.0592	0.0627	0.0882	0.0875	0.0875	0.1371	0.1163
0.0627	0.0894	0.0837	0.1141	0.0513	0.0817	0.0646	0.0382	0.0418	0.0806	0.0798	0.0798	0.1257	0.1067
0.0608	0.0779	0.0684	0.1065	0.0456	0.0551	0.0304	0.0477	0.0608	0.0882	0.0856	0.0856	0.1219	0.1162
0.0608	0.0399	0.057	0.0894	0.0494	0.0665	0.057	0.0782	0.0741	0.0805	0.0779	0.0779	0.1256	0.082
0.0456	0.0875	0.0741	0.1141	0.038	0.0779	0.0684	0.0668	0.0665	0.0843	0.0817	0.0817	0.1314	0.1144
0.0875	0.1008	0.0894	0.0399	0.0856	0.1008	0.0837	0.0992	0.0913	0.0901	0.0875	0.0875	0.1276	0.1105
0.0741	0.0589	0.0551	0.1027	0.0627	0.0722	0.0665	0.082	0.0856	0.0805	0.0798	0.0798	0.1314	0.0992
0.076	0.0741	0.0418	0.1122	0.0684	0.0894	0.076	0.0954	0.0913	0.0997	0.097	0.097	0.1352	0.1106
0.0475	0.0837	0.0703	0.1141	0.0095	0.0722	0.0589	0.063	0.0646	0.0881	0.0875	0.0875	0.1295	0.1144
***	0.0817	0.0798	0.1103	0.0456	0.0646	0.0646	0.0706	0.0665	0.0959	0.0932	0.0932	0.1295	0.1163
0.1775	***	0.0779	0.1179	0.0817	0.0875	0.0856	0.1029	0.1027	0.0978	0.0951	0.0951	0.1142	0.1049
0.1501	0.1123	***	0.1103	0.0722	0.0894	0.0837	0.0859	0.0951	0.094	0.0913	0.0913	0.1257	0.1125
0.1877	0.1703	0.1408	***	0.1084	0.116	0.1065	0.1183	0.1122	0.1131	0.1103	0.1103	0.1429	0.1276
0.11	0.1524	0.1227	0.1492	***	0.0703	0.0532	0.0592	0.0589	0.0824	0.0817	0.0817	0.1256	0.1067
0.0942	0.1769	0.131	0.1796	0.0962	***	0.0551	0.0954	0.0894	0.1054	0.1027	0.1027	0.1448	0.1277
0.0992	0.1658	0.1093	0.1763	0.096	0.0696	***	0.0706	0.0494	0.0844	0.0837	0.0837	0.1333	0.1162
0.1286	0.2193	0.1573	0.2031	0.1307	0.139	0.1227	***	0.0363	0.0924	0.0915	0.0915	0.1165	0.1224
0.1158	0.2072	0.1474	0.1983	0.1209	0.1318	0.1072	0.0269	***	0.0862	0.0837	0.0837	0.1276	0.1105
0.1806	0.1883	0.1608	0.1883	0.1744	0.1747	0.1608	0.1904	0.1831	***	0.0058	0.0058	0.1423	0.1193
0.1822	0.19	0.1627	0.19	0.176	0.171	0.1573	0.192	0.1848	0.0027	***	0	0.139	0.12
0.1795	0.1873	0.16	0.1873	0.1733	0.1736	0.16	0.1893	0.1821	0	0.0027	***	0.139	0.12
0.2092	0.2005	0.176	0.2139	0.1973	0.2192	0.216	0.2427	0.244	0.2276	0.2293	0.2267	***	0.1355
0.2226	0.1953	0.1814	0.2165	0.2134	0.2194	0.2027	0.2428	0.2443	0.2305	0.232	0.2294	0.1467	***